



You loved our training videos:

- Useful, clear or good (14)
- But a bit long – and printed step-by-step guides preferred after initial training (that’s what we intended)



12 people thought the **study procedures** were good, satisfying, interesting or flowed well

...time-consuming (3),

...and the patient’s stay in clinic is prolonged


...but clearly explained

When not doing the **heel raise test** regularly there is a lot to remember to ensure all is done correctly


Although the **PRP preparation** can be **tricky** when you first complete the process it [becomes] more **straightforward**...bottles well labelled and it is clear with the user guide and training tools how to prepare the PRP

What could we in the study office do differently?

- 16 of you said “**nothing**”, or “**not sure**”! – Phew!
- Perhaps “**a separate manual** for ‘PRP’ and one for ‘Imitation’ (1); and to have all the paperwork [at baseline] in **one booklet**” (1). – We can think about that for future studies.
- Provide “more literature on **non-operative management** and NICE guidelines” (1) – we’d like to hear more about this request, please get in touch.



I wish I'd known...



Thank you for your comments
The PATH-2 team